UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,925	08/03/2006	Clayton Price	13877/10301	1654
26646 KENYON & K	7590 02/12/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/585,925	PRICE, CLAYTO	N
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kriellion A. Sande	rs 1796	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cc  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS CON ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however mmunication.  statutory period will apply and will expire SI ply will, by statute, cause the application to less after the mailing date of this communication.	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this opecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ol>	2b) This action is non-final on for allowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 13-21 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 13-21 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to res  Application Papers	/are withdrawn from considera		
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ 11) The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or b) obje ejection to the drawing(s) be held in ng the correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the prior</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copie</li></ul>	ty documents have been receively documents have been receives of the priority documents have tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)).	l Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) 3) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Hotice of Informal Patent Application htther:	

Application/Control Number: 10/585,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Codolar et al, US Patent No. 6,248,806 in view of Perichaud et al, US Patent No. 6251967 and Hashimoto et al., US Patent No. 5520910..

Codolar et al discloses self-polishing marine antifouling paint compositions comprising rosin or rosin equivalent(s), one or more polymeric flexibilizer component(s) and optional fibers. The rosin part of the paint may comprise ammonium or metal salts of rosin. The non-crystalline flexibilizer components are selected from poly(meth)acrylates, polyacrylamides, copolymer and terpolymers thereof, acrylamide resins, acrylic acrylamide resins, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl esters, polyester, polyoxyalkylenes, polyurethanes, and epoxy esters. The fibers are preferably natural or synthetic inorganic or organic fibers or metal fibers. The paints are said to have improved mechanical properties such as strength and resistance towards weathering. The paints may also comprise a biological agent that affords antifouling properties. See col. 19, line 21 through col. 20, line 39 especially lines 34 and 21 wherein amines and phosphonium salts are disclosed. Patentee does not disclose that the polymer flexibilizers may comprise salt groups of amine or phosphine functional groups. See the abstract and cols. 15-17.

Perichaud et al discloses antimicrobial non-cross-linked polymers which are constituted of an ester and/or amide resin to which quaternary ammonium salts are bound by a covalent bond which is potentially reactive with water. The polymers correspond directly to those of the present claims. The polymers are said to possess antimicrobial activity. See col. 4, lines 13-15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the polymers of Perichaud et al into the antifouling compositions of Codolar et al to obtain their antifouling properties. This is especially true in view of the fact that the Perichaud et al polymers possess amine, amide or quaternary ammonium functional groups as suggested by Codolar.

Hashimoto et al discloses an antimicrobial polymer obtained by homo- or copolymerizing a phosphonium salt type vinyl monomer, such as 2-(methacrylic acid) ethyltri-noctylphosphonium chloride, that correspond to those of applicant's claims. See the abstract and col. 3, line 5 through col. 6, line 14.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the polymers of Hashimoto et al into the antifouling compositions of Codolar et al to obtain their antifouling properties. This is especially true in view of the fact that the Hahimoto et al polymers possess amine, amide or quaternary phosphonium functional groups as suggested by Codolar.

Codolar teaches that auxiliary agents may include plasticizers and those additives are included in an amount up to 15 percent by solids volume. See cols. 21 and 22. The ordinary practitioner of this art would know to select the antifouling agents and plasticizers and employ them in amounts within those suggested by patentee.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

# 4. Applicant states:

In response applicant submits that the polymer present in the coating composition of the presently invention comprises the salt of an amine or phosphine-fmlctional group. The polymer in the claimed invention thus comprises a salt of a primary, secondary, and/or tertiary amine. In this regard, applicant directs the Examiner's attention to the disclosure oz page 5, lines 7-9, of the specification. This salt has the formula [- NItR3R4]+ or [- PHR3R4 as shown in claim 1 as originally filed. Thus, the amine or phosphine groups present on the polymer in the presently claimed invention have an N-H or P-H functionality. Such functionality is not present in a quaternary ammonium or phosphonium group. In contrast, applicant submits that Codolar et al disclose antifouting paints that contain as biologically active agent an ammonium or phosphonium salt, i.e. quaternary ammonium orphosphonium salts. Similarly, Perichaud et al and Hashimoto et al disclose polymers comprising quaternary ammonium orphosphonium groups..... Thus, applicant submits that none of the cited prior art documents discloses polymers comprising salts of amine- or phosphine-functional groups as in the claimed invention. Accordingly, the skilled artisan, upon reading the cited

references, would not arrive at the claimed invention when considering the teachings in the cited references either alone or in combination....

This argument has not been found to be persuasive because applicant's claims comprise: a salt group-comprising polymer obtainable by a process comprising the steps of reacting an acid having an aliphatic, aromatic, or alkaryl hydrocarbon group comprising 5 or more carbon atoms with an amine- or phosphine- monomer of the formula depicted in claim 13. Applicant is advised that the present claims are also directed to a salt group-comprising polymer obtainable by any other process. The term, "obtainable by" is not limiting and therefore does not exclude the polymers of Codolar et al, Perichaud et al, and Hashimoto et al.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30am-7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kriellion A. Sanders/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796